



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,326	04/08/2004	Karl P. Ahrens	6384-0012-1	8519
35301	7590	06/15/2005	EXAMINER	
MCCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER LLP			LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R	
CITY PLACE II			ART UNIT	
185 ASYLUM STREET			PAPER NUMBER	
HARTFORD, CT 06103			2837	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/820,326

Applicant(s)

AHRENS, KARL P.

Examiner

Kim R. Lockett

Art Unit

2837

PM

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 7-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6348647 in view of Druz.

Claims 3 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6348647 discloses the use of a ocarina comprising a body comprising at least one wall, a surface positioned adjacent to and cooperative with the wall, wherein said wall, said surface, and said cover define a sound chamber.

Claims 3 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6348647 does not disclose the use of a recess positioned adjacent a cover.

WO 03/091986 A1 discloses the use of an ocarina with a recess positioned adjacent a cover and a plurality of apertures disposed within the body, said apertures being configured to facilitate airflow through the body (see abstract)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as disclosed by U.S. Patent No. 6348647 with the recess positioned adjacent a cover in order to facilitate airflow communication.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Druz in view of Ahrens.

Druz discloses the use of an ocarina comprising a sound chamber having a plurality of apertures that facilitate airflow communication through said sound chamber to produce a tone (see figure 1) and a recess disposed at an inner surface of the sound chamber, at least one of the apertures being in register with said recess (see figure 4).

Druz does not disclose the use of a recess positioned adjacent a cover.

Ahrens discloses the use of an ocarina with a body comprising at least one wall(26), a surface positioned adjacent to and cooperative with the wall, wherein said wall, said surface, and a cover (22) define a sound chamber (see figure 1). Ahrens further discloses the use of a windway through recess(28), a fipple window(30), and

Art Unit: 2837

recesses that have toneholes that are in register with the recesses, whereby the recess is less in width and depth of the sound chamber. Ahrens further discloses that toneholes can be selectively obstructable by a person playing an ocarina.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ocarina as disclosed by Druz with the recess adjacent the cover as disclosed by Ahrens in order to provide control for the flow of air in an ocarina.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Druz in view of Ahrens and Fowler.

Druz and Ahrens do not disclose the use of an ocarina made of clay.

Fowler et al disclose the use of a pentatonic ocarina. Fowler further discloses that the uses of ocarinas made from clay are well known in the art (column 1, lines 30-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ocarina as disclosed by Druz with the recess adjacent the cover as disclosed by Ahrens and the clay as disclosed by Fowler in order to provide a instrument with plaintive sound.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center at 703-872-9306.

For assistance in **Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions** calls should be directed to the **Patents Assistance Center (PAC)** whose **telephone number is 800-786-9199**. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning **this communication or earlier communications from the examiner** should be directed to **Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703) 308-7615, after 2/3/04 my new number will be (571) 272-2067**. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107.


KIMBERLY LOCKETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER